

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,895	10/16/2003	Hirofumi Onishi	ALPINE.036AUS	7531	
MURAMATSI	7590 01/23/2008 U & ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER			
114 Pacifica Suite 310 Irvine, CA 92618			MANCHO, RONNIE M		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11 vino, 011 > 20		•	3663		
			MAIL DATE.	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/686,895	ONISHI, HIROFUMI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Ronnie Mancho	3663			

	Rolline Maricho	3003				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	lress			
 THE REPLY FILED <u>08 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amor shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropi originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mont	hs of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a br	ief will not be entered b	necause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see I		coduoc			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		reducina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially	reducing or ompinying	110 100000 101			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		te, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		will be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	r entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	NGI IVEN					
CUONG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER						
	// . /					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 10/686,895

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments do not cure all the 112 issues cited in the final rejection dated 3/22/07, e.g "a type of", "the type of", etc. The phrase, "a business type" is new matter. Applicant does not set forth support for said amendment. This is a second advisory action sent to applicant. Applicant should refrain from filling multiple advisory actions without filling an RCE or an appeal brief.